arXiv:math-ph/0010024v2 13 Nov 2000

Two-dimensional algebro-geometric difference operators

A. A. Oblomkov*[†], A. V. Penskoi[‡]

Abstract

A generalized inverse problem for a two-dimensional difference operator is introduced. A new construction of the algebro-geometric difference operators of two types first considered by I.M.Krichever and S.P.Novikov is proposed.

1 Introduction

The notion of a finite-gap with respect to one energy level Schrödinger operator was introduced by B. A. Dubrovin, I. M. Krichever and S. P. Novikov in the paper [1]. The paper of S. P. Novikov and A. P. Veselov [2] deals with some class of two-dimensional Schrödinger operators called potential operators. In this paper S. P. Novikov and A. P. Veselov solved the inverse scattering problem. In the paper [3], I. M. Krichever has introduced a similar theory for difference operators. The recent papers [4, 5, 6] deal with different natural generalizations of two-dimensional difference operators defined on regular graphs and lattices. In particular in the paper [4] (see also appendix I in the paper [5]) in the context of the discrete Laplace transformations S. P. Novikov introduced an important class of the difference operators on equilateral triangular lattice. These papers stimulated new research in this area (see the review [6]).

In the present paper we propose a generalized inverse problem and a new construction of two-dimensional algebro-geometric operators both in Krichever's and Novikov's classes.

Let L be a two-dimensional difference operator (of order $2K$)

$$(L\psi)_{nm} = \sum_{i,j,|i|\leq K,|j|\leq K} a^{ij}_{nm}\psi_{n+i,m+j} \qquad (1)$$

---

*Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119899, Russia.

[†]Independent University of Moscow, Bolshoy Vlasyevskiy per. 11, Moscow, 121002, Russia. `e-mail: oblomkov@mccme.ru`

[‡]Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada. `e-mail: penskoi@crm.umontreal.ca`

with periodic coefficients

$$a^{ij}_{n+N,m} = a^{ij}_{n,m+M} = a^{ij}_{nm}.$$

Consider a space of Floquet functions

$$\psi_{n+N,m} = w_1\psi_{n,m}, \quad \psi_{n,m+M} = w_2\psi_{n,m}.$$

This space is finite-dimensional and the operator L induces in this space a linear operator $L(w_1, w_2)$. The characteristic equation of this operator

$$Q(w_1, w_2, E) = \det(E \cdot \mathrm{Id} - L(w_1, w_2)) = 0$$

defines a two-dimensional algebraic variety M^2. A point of M^2 corresponds to a unique eigenvector ψ_{nm} of the operator L

$$(L\psi)_{nm} = E\psi_{nm}$$

such that $\psi_{00} = 1$. All other components ψ_{nm} are meromorphic functions on M^2. Consider a curve $\Gamma \subset M^2$ corresponding to the "zero-energy level"

$$\Gamma = \{w_1, w_2 | Q(w_1, w_2, 0) = 0\}.$$

The functions ψ_{nm} are meromorphic on Γ.

We can consider the two following problems.
1) The direct spectral problem. Find explicitly the "spectral data" of the operator L (i.e. a set of geometric data like a curve Γ, divisors of poles of ψ_{nm} etc.) which determines the operator L uniquely.
2) The inverse spectral problem. Find explicitly the operator L using the "spectral data".

Both problems are complicated. It is nearly imposible to solve either of them in a general case. We can, however, consider a generalized inverse problem which consists of finding a set of geometric data with the following properties:
1) The set of geometric data defines uniquely a family of functions ψ_{nm} defined on an algebraic complex curve Γ,
2) These functions satisfy the equation $L\psi = 0$ for some operator L of the form (1),
3) The operator L is uniquely defined by the equation $L\psi = 0$ and the coefficients a^{ij}_{nm} can be found explicitly.

This problem is solved for some particular operators in the paper [3]. I. M. Krichever calls such operators "integrable" but we will use the term "algebro-geometric".

Our goal is to find algebro-geometric operators. We found two examples which can be of interest.

The first example is provided by operators of the form

$$(L\psi)_{nm} = a_{nm}\psi_{n-1,m} + b_{nm}\psi_{n+1,m} + c_{nm}\psi_{n,m-1} + d_{nm}\psi_{n,m+1} + v_{nm}\psi_{nm}. \quad (2)$$

A value of $(L\psi)_{nm}$ depends only on values of ψ at the points

$$(n-1, m), (n+1, m), (n, m-1), (n, m+1), (n, m)$$

which form a cross in the plane (n, m). We will call such an operator "cross-shaped". These operators were considered by I. M. Krichever in [3]. Algebro-geometric operators of the form (2) found by I. M. Krichever correspond to a curve $\Gamma' \subset M^2$ whose image under the projection on the E-plane is the whole E-plane. The corresponding problem is $L\psi = E\psi$, where both E and ψ are functions defined on Γ'. In the present paper we deal with a different type of algebro-geometric operators of the form (2) which corresponds to the "zero energy level" curve. The corresponding problem is $L\psi = 0$.

The other example is more complicated and maybe more interesting. Consider a triangular lattice in a plane. We will use as coordinates triples of integers k, l, m such that $k+l+m = 0$. On such a lattice we can consider an operator of the form

$$(L\psi)_{klm} = a_{klm}\psi_{k,l+1,m-1} + b_{klm}\psi_{k,l-1,m+1} + c_{klm}\psi_{k+1,l-1,m} + \tag{3}$$

$$+d_{klm}\psi_{k-1,l+1,m} + f_{klm}\psi_{k+1,l,m-1} + g_{klm}\psi_{k-1,l,m+1}.$$

A value of $(L\psi)_{klm}$ depends only on values of ψ at the points

$$(k, l+1, m-1), (k, l-1, m+1), (k+1, l-1, m), (k-1, l+1, m),$$

$$(k+1, l, m-1), (k-1, l, m+1)$$

which form a hexagon in the plane k, l, m. We will call such an operator "hexagonal". In this case our lattice is not rectangular, nevertheless we can consider the generalized inverse problem and solve it.

As we have already mentioned, this class of the operators has been introduced in the context of the discrete Laplace transformation by S. P. Novikov [4, 5, 6].

It should be remarked that our formulas in the sections 3, 4 are not unique. We can choose other singularity structures for ψ-function (for example using a rotation of the plane (n, m) by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in the case of operators of the form (2)) and obtain other algebro-geometric operators.

2 Notation and conventions

We use the notations and conventions of paper [7]. In particular, our conventions are the following. A basis of cycles $a_1 \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g$ is chosen in such a way that

$$a_i \circ a_j = b_i \circ b_j = 0, \quad a_i \circ b_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, g,$$

where g is the genus of a non-singular curve Γ. A basis of holomorphic differentials $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g$ is choosen in such a way that

$$\oint_{a_j} \omega_k = 2\pi i \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, g.$$

We define the Jacobian $J(\Gamma)$ as $\mathbb{C}^g/\{2\pi i M + BN\}$, where $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}^g$, B is a matrix of b-periods of ω_i

$$B_{jk} = \oint_{b_j} \omega_k, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, g.$$

We denote by Ω_{PQ} the Abel differential of the third kind, i.e. a differential with unique poles at the points P and Q and residues $+1$ and -1 at these points respectively, we denote by U_{PQ} the vector of b-periods of Ω_{PQ}, we denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the vector of the Riemann constants.

We define the Θ-function as

$$\Theta(z) = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle BN, N\rangle + \langle N, z\rangle\right),$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g$ and $\langle\,,\rangle$ is a euclidean scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i y_j$.

We use the following natural convention: if n is a negative integer then a zero (pole) of the nth order is a pole (zero) of the $|n|$th order.

3 The cross-shaped operators: Krichever's class

Consider an arbitrary two-dimensional difference operator L of the form (2). Our goal is to find some solution of the generalized inverse problem stated in the introduction.

Our construction is as follows. Let Γ be a nonsingular curve of genus g. Let $P_i^{\pm}, i = 1, 2, 3$, be six points on Γ. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a generic divisor of the form $\mathcal{D} = P_1 + \ldots + P_g$ such that the points P_k are different from the $P_i^{\pm}$. Consider a function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined on Γ such that:
1) If a point $P \in \Gamma \setminus \{P_1^{\pm}, P_2^{\pm}, P_3^{\pm}\}$ is a pole of $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, then P is one of the points P_k;
2) The function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ has a zero of αth order in P_1^{+} and a pole of αth order in P_1^{-}, the same structure for β and $P_2^{\pm}$, γ and $P_3^{\pm}$.
LEMMA 1) Such a function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ exists and is unique up to multiplication by a constant.
2) The explicit formula for $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is:

$$r_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \cdot \exp \int_{P_0}^{P} (\alpha\Omega_1 + \beta\Omega_2 + \gamma\Omega_3) \cdot \frac{\Theta(A(P) + \alpha U_1 + \beta U_2 + \gamma U_3 - A(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{K})}{\Theta(A(P) - A(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{K})},$$

where $r_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is an arbitrary constant, P_0 is a fixed point defining the Abel transform A (it should be remarked that the paths of integration in $\int_{P_0}^{P}$ and in the Abel transform are the same), $\Omega_i = \Omega_{P_i^+ P_i^-}$, $U_i = U_{P_i^+ P_i^-}$.
PROOF is done by a standard reasoning of the theory of the algebro-geometric integration. $\Box$

The key idea of the construction of our functions ψ_{nm} is a convinient relabelling in following way: $\psi_{nm} = \phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(n,m) = \dfrac{2-n-m}{2}, \beta(n,m) = \dfrac{n-m}{2}, \gamma(n,m) = \dfrac{n-m}{2}, \\ \text{if} \quad n+m = 0 \pmod 2, \\ \alpha(n,m) = \dfrac{3-n-m}{2}, \beta(n,m) = \dfrac{-1+n-m}{2}, \gamma(n,m) = \dfrac{1+n-m}{2}, \\ \text{if} \quad n+m = 1 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

We will use a vectorial notation for the triples, i. e. the representation of a triple α, β, γ as a vector $\alpha\mathbf{i} + \beta\mathbf{j} + \gamma\mathbf{k}$. For example, we will sometime write $\phi_{\alpha\mathbf{i}+\beta\mathbf{j}+\gamma\mathbf{k}}$ instead of $\phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. This is useful because for exemple, if $\mathbf{v}=\alpha\mathbf{i}+\beta\mathbf{j}+\gamma\mathbf{k}$, then we can write $\phi_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}}$ instead of $\phi_{\alpha+1,\beta,\gamma}$.

We write $\psi_{nm}=\phi_{\mathbf{v}(n,m)}$, where $\mathbf{v}(n,m)=\alpha(n,m)\mathbf{i}+\beta(n,m)\mathbf{j}+\gamma(n,m)\mathbf{k}$, i. e.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}(n,m)=\frac{2-n-m}{2}\mathbf{i}+\frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{j}+\frac{n-m}{2}\mathbf{k}, & \text{if} \quad n+m=0 \pmod 2, \\ \mathbf{v}(n,m)=\frac{3-n-m}{2}\mathbf{i}+\frac{-1+n-m}{2}\mathbf{j}+\frac{1+n-m}{2}\mathbf{k}, & \text{if} \quad n+m=1 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

We will also use the following notation

$$\Theta(P,\alpha\mathbf{i}+\beta\mathbf{j}+\gamma\mathbf{k})=\Theta(P,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)=\Theta(A(P)+\alpha U_1+\beta U_2+\gamma U_3-A(\mathcal{D})-\mathcal{K}).$$

Let us formulate our theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let a family ψ_{mn} be defined as stated above. Then $L\psi=0$ if and only if the coefficients a_{nm}, b_{nm}, c_{nm}, d_{nm}, v_{nm} of the operator L are defined up to a multiplication by a constant by the following formulas:
1) if $n+m\equiv 0 \pmod 2$, then

$$\frac{a_{nm}}{d_{nm}}=-\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}}}\cdot\frac{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})}\cdot\exp(-\int_{P_0}^{P_2^+}\Omega_1),$$

$$\frac{b_{nm}}{d_{nm}}=-\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{k}}}\cdot\frac{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_3^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_3^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{k})}\times$$
$$\times\exp(\int_{P_0}^{P_3^-}\Omega_1-\int_{P_0}^{P_2^+}\Omega_1-\int_{P_0}^{P_1^-}(\Omega_2+\Omega_3)),$$

$$\frac{c_{nm}}{d_{nm}}=\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}}}\cdot\frac{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})}\cdot\exp(-\int_{P_0}^{P_2^+}\Omega_1-\int_{P_0}^{P_1^-}(\Omega_2+\Omega_3)),$$

$$\frac{v_{nm}}{d_{nm}}=\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}}}\cdot\frac{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^+,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})}\times$$
$$\times\exp(-\int_{P_0}^{P_2^+}\Omega_1-\int_{P_0}^{P_1^-}(\Omega_2+\Omega_3)+\int_{P_0}^{P_2^-}\Omega_3)\cdot\Bigg[\frac{\Theta(P_3^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_3^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v})}\times$$
$$\times\exp(\int_{P_0}^{P_3^-}\Omega_1)-\frac{\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v})}\cdot\exp(\int_{P_0}^{P_2^-}\Omega_1)\Bigg],$$

where $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}(n,m)$,
2) if $n+m\equiv 1 \pmod 2$, then

$$\frac{a_{nm}}{c_{nm}}=-\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{k}}}\cdot\frac{\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_3^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_2^-,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_3^+,\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{k})}\times$$
$$\times\exp(\int_{P_0}^{P_2^-}\Omega_1+\int_{P_0}^{P_1^+}(\Omega_2+\Omega_3)-\int_{P_0}^{P_3^+}\Omega_1),$$

$$\frac{b_{nm}}{c_{nm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})} \cdot \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{P_2^-} \Omega_1),$$

$$\frac{d_{nm}}{c_{nm}} = \frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k}}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})} \cdot \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{P_2^-} \Omega_1 + \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^+} (\Omega_2+\Omega_3)),$$

$$\frac{v_{nm}}{c_{nm}} = \frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j}}}{r_{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_2^-, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j})\Theta(P_1^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{P_2^-} \Omega_1 + \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^+} (\Omega_2 + \Omega_3) - \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_2+} \Omega_3) \cdot \left[\frac{\Theta(P_3^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_2^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_3^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{k})\Theta(P_2^+, \mathbf{v})} \times \right.$$

$$\left. \times \exp(-\int\limits_{P_0}^{P_3^+} \Omega_1) - \frac{\Theta(P_2^+, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_2^+, \mathbf{v})} \cdot \exp(-\int\limits_{P_0}^{P_2^+} \Omega_1) \right],$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(n, m)$.
Proof. Let $L\psi = 0$. Let us consider the case $n + m \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Thus, the formula $L\psi = 0$ becomes

$$a_{nm}\phi_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}} + b_{nm}\phi_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{k}} + c_{nm}\phi_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}} + d_{nm}\phi_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}} + v_{nm}\phi_{\mathbf{v}} = 0. \qquad (4)$$

Consider the point P_1^-. Let λ be a local parameter in a neighbourhood of P_1^-. Hence $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \lambda^{-\alpha} \cdot h$, where h is a holomorphic function. The function $\exp \int_{P_0}^{P} \Omega_1$ has a pole of first order at the point P_1^-. Thus $\exp \int_{P_0}^{P} \Omega_1 = K_1^- \lambda^{-1} + \ldots$, where K_1^- is a constant. Therefore we have

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(P) = r_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(K_1^-)^{\alpha} \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_2 \right)^{\beta} \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_3 \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Theta(P_1^-, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\Theta(P_1^-, 0, 0, 0)} \lambda^{-\alpha} + \ldots$$

for P in the neighbourhood of P_1^-.

Now we can write down the term with $\lambda^{-(\alpha(n,m)+1)}$ in the formula (4) in the neighbourhood of P_1^-

$$a_{nm}(K_1^-)^{\alpha(n,m)+1} r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}} \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_2 \right)^{\beta(n,m)-1} \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_3 \right)^{\gamma(n,m)} \times$$

$$\times \frac{\Theta(P_1^-, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})}{\Theta(P_1^-, 0, 0, 0)} \lambda^{-(\alpha(n,m)+1)} + c_{nm}(K_1^-)^{\alpha(n,m)+1} r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}} \times$$

$$\times \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_2 \right)^{\beta(n,m)} \left(\exp \int\limits_{P_0}^{P_1^-} \Omega_3 \right)^{\gamma(n,m)+1} \frac{\Theta(P_1^-, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})}{\Theta(P_1^-, 0, 0, 0)} \lambda^{-(\alpha(n,m)+1)} = 0.$$

After simplifications we obtain a linear equation for the a_{nm} and the c_{nm}

$$a_{nm}r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}}\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})+c_{nm}r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}}\exp(\int_{P_0}^{P_1^-}(\Omega_2+\Omega_3))\Theta(P_1^-,\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k})=0.$$

Doing analogous computations at the points P_3^-, P_2^- and P_2^+ we obtain three other linear equations for the a_{nm}, b_{nm}, c_{nm}, d_{nm} and v_{nm}. These equations can be explicitely solved and the formulas for the coefficients of the operator L given in the statement of the theorem are obtained. The case $n+m\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ is analogous.

Now let us suppose that the $a_{nm},\dots,v_{nm}$ are given by the formulas of the statement of the theorem. Let us prove that $L\psi=0$. Consider the case $n+m\equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Let us consider a function

$$(\hat{L}\psi)_{nm}=\frac{a_{nm}}{d_{nm}}\psi_{n-1,m}+\frac{b_{nm}}{d_{nm}}\psi_{n+1,m}+\frac{c_{nm}}{d_{nm}}\psi_{n,m-1}+\frac{v_{nm}}{d_{nm}}\psi_{n,m}.$$

This function has the same pole or zero structure as the function $-\psi_{n,m+1}$ at the points $P_i^+, i=1,2,3$. It follows from the formulas in the statement of the theorem that $(\hat{L}\psi)_{nm}$ and $-\psi_{n,m+1}$ have the same pole or zero structure at the points $P_i^-, i=1,2,3$. If a point $P\in\Gamma\setminus\{P_1^\pm,P_2^\pm,P_3^\pm\}$ is a pole of $(\hat{L}\psi)_{nm}$ or $-\psi_{n,m+1}$, then $P\in\mathcal{D}$. Thus, by the Lemma, $(\hat{L}\psi)_{nm}$ and $-\psi_{n,m+1}$ are proportional. Moreover, from the formulas for the coefficients of the operator L it follows that the terms with $\lambda^{\beta(n,m)-1}$ in the series expansions of these two functions at the point P_2^+ are the same. Hence $(\hat{L}\psi)_{nm}=-\psi_{n,m+1}$, but this is equivalent to $L\psi=0$. The case $n+m\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ is analogous. This completes the proof. $\Box$.

Any set of non-zero constants g_{nm} defines a “gauge” transformation of operators of the form (2) such that

$$a'_{nm}=g^{-1}_{n-1,m}a_{nm},\quad b'_{nm}=g^{-1}_{n+1,m}b_{nm},\quad c'_{nm}=g^{-1}_{n,m-1}c_{nm},$$

$$d'_{nm}=g^{-1}_{n,m+1}d_{nm},\quad v'_{nm}=g^{-1}_{nm}v_{nm}.$$

This gauge transform acts on the eigenfunctions in the following manner: $\psi'_{nm}=g_{nm}\psi_{nm}$.

The following theorem is an easy corollary of the Theorem 1.

THEOREM $1'$. For any set of “spectral data” consisting of: a non-singular curve Γ of genus g, six points $P_i^\pm\in\Gamma, i=1,2,3$, and a generic divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of g points different from the $P_i^\pm$, there exists, up to a gauge transformation, a unique operator L of the form (2).

4 The hexagonal operators: Novikov’s class

Consider a triangular lattice in a plane. We will use as coordinates triples of integers k,l,m such that $k+l+m=0$.

Consider an arbitrary two-dimensional difference operator L of the form (3). Our goal is to find some solution of the generalized inverse problem stated in the introduction.

Our construction is as follows. Let Γ be a nonsingular curve of genus g. Let Q_i, R_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be six points on Γ. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a generic divisor of the form $\mathcal{D} = P_1 + \ldots + P_g$ such that the points P_k are different from the Q_i, R_i. Consider a function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$, α, β, γ, ρ, σ, $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, $\rho + \sigma + \tau = 0$, defined on Γ such that:

1) If a point $P \in \Gamma \setminus \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ is a pole of $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$, then P is one of the points P_k;

2) The function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$ has a pole of αth order in Q_1, a pole of βth order in Q_2, a pole of γth order in Q_3; the same structure for ρ, σ, τ and R_1, R_2, R_3.

LEMMA 1) Such a function $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$ exists and is unique up to multiplication by a constant.

2) The explicit formula for $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$ is:

$$r_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau} \cdot \exp \int_{P_0}^{P} (\alpha\Omega_{Q_3Q_1} + \beta\Omega_{Q_3Q_2} + \rho\Omega_{R_3R_1} + \sigma\Omega_{R_3R_2}) \times$$

$$\times \frac{\Theta(A(P) + \alpha U_{Q_3Q_1} + \beta U_{Q_3Q_2} + \rho U_{R_3R_1} + \sigma U_{R_3R_2} - A(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{K})}{\Theta(A(P) - A(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{K})},$$

where $r_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$ is an arbitrary constant, P_0 is a fixed point defining the Abel transform A (it should be remarked that the paths of integration in $\int_{P_0}^{P}$ and in the Abel transform are the same).

PROOF is done by a standard reasoning of the theory of the algebro-geometric integration. $\square$

As in section 3 we will use a vectorial notation. We will represent the six integer numbers α, β, γ, ρ, σ, τ as one vector

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_2 + \gamma\mathbf{e}_3 + \rho\mathbf{e}_4 + \sigma\mathbf{e}_5 + \tau\mathbf{e}_6 \in \mathbb{Z}^6.$$

Thus, we will write $\phi_{\mathbf{v}}$ instead of $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma\tau}$.

The key idea of the construction of our functions ψ_{klm} is a convinient relabelling in following way: $\psi_{klm} = \phi_{\mathbf{v}(k,l,m)}$, where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v}(k,l,m) = \frac{k-l}{3}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{l-m}{3}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{m-k}{3}\mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{k-l}{3}\mathbf{e}_4 + \frac{l-m}{3}\mathbf{e}_5 + \frac{m-k}{3}\mathbf{e}_6 \\ \text{if} \quad k - l = 0 \pmod 3, \\ \mathbf{v}(k,l,m) = \frac{k-l-1}{3}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{l-m+2}{3}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{m-k-1}{3}\mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{k-l+2}{3}\mathbf{e}_4 + \frac{l-m-1}{3}\mathbf{e}_5 + \\ + \frac{m-k-1}{3}\mathbf{e}_6 \quad \text{if} \quad k - l = 1 \pmod 3, \\ \mathbf{v}(k,l,m) = \frac{k-l+1}{3}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{l-m+1}{3}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{m-k-2}{3}\mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{k-l+1}{3}\mathbf{e}_4 + \frac{l-m-2}{3}\mathbf{e}_5 + \\ + \frac{m-k+1}{3}\mathbf{e}_6 \quad \text{if} \quad k - l = 2 \pmod 3). \end{array} \right.$$

We will also use the following notation

$$\Theta(P, \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_2 + \gamma\mathbf{e}_3 + \rho\mathbf{e}_4 + \sigma\mathbf{e}_5 + \tau\mathbf{e}_6) = \Theta(P, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho, \sigma, \tau) =$$

$$= \Theta(A(P) + \alpha U_{Q_3Q_1} + \beta U_{Q_3Q_2} + \rho U_{R_3R_1} + \sigma U_{R_3R_2} - A(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{K}).$$

Let us formulate our theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let a family ψ_{klm} be defined as stated above. Then $L\psi = 0$ if and only if the coeficients a_{klm}, b_{klm}, c_{klm}, d_{klm}, f_{klm}, g_{klm}, of the operator L are defined up to a multiplication by a constant by the following formulas:

1) if $k - l \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, then

$$\frac{a_{klm}}{b_{klm}} = \frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3}} \cdot \Bigg[\frac{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2)\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5)}{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_3} (\Omega_{R_2R_1} - \Omega_{Q_1Q_2}) - \int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_2} \Omega_{Q_3Q_1}) +$$

$$+ \frac{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_6)\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5)}{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_6)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_2} \Omega_{R_3R_1} - \int\limits_{P_0}^{R_1} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_2} + \Omega_{R_3R_2}))\Bigg],$$

$$\frac{d_{klm}}{b_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5)}{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2)} \cdot \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_3} (\Omega_{R_2R_1} - \Omega_{Q_1Q_2})),$$

$$\frac{f_{klm}}{b_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_6}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_5)}{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_3+\mathbf{e}_4-\mathbf{e}_6)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{R_1} (-\Omega_{Q_3Q_2} - \Omega_{R_3R_2})), \quad \frac{c_{klm}}{b_{klm}} = 0, \quad \frac{g_{klm}}{b_{klm}} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(k, l, m)$,
2) if $k - l \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, then

$$\frac{b_{klm}}{d_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_5+\mathbf{e}_6}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(R_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(R_3, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_5+\mathbf{e}_6)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{R_3} (\Omega_{Q_1Q_2} + \Omega_{R_1R_2})),$$

$$\frac{c_{klm}}{d_{klm}} = \frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2}} \cdot \Bigg[\frac{\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_5+\mathbf{e}_6)\Theta(R_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2)\Theta(R_3, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_5+\mathbf{e}_6)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{R_3} (\Omega_{Q_1Q_2} + \Omega_{R_1R_2}) - \int\limits_{P_0}^{R_1} \Omega_{R_3R_2}) +$$

$$+ \frac{\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(R_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_2)\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_3)} \times$$

$$\times \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{R_1} \Omega_{Q_3Q_2} - \int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_2} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_1} + \Omega_{R_3R_1}))\Bigg],$$

$$\frac{f_{klm}}{d_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_3}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(Q_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_1-\mathbf{e}_3)} \cdot \exp(\int\limits_{P_0}^{Q_2} (-\Omega_{Q_3Q_1} - \Omega_{R_3R_1})),$$

$$\frac{a_{klm}}{d_{klm}} = 0, \quad \frac{g_{klm}}{d_{klm}} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(k,l,m)$,
3) if $k - l \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, then

$$\frac{b_{klm}}{f_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(Q_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(Q_1, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3)} \cdot \exp(\int_{P_0}^{Q_1} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_2} + \Omega_{R_3R_2})),$$

$$\frac{d_{klm}}{f_{klm}} = -\frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_5}} \cdot \frac{\Theta(R_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(R_2, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_5)} \times$$
$$\times \exp(\int_{P_0}^{R_2} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_1} + \Omega_{R_3R_1})),$$

$$\frac{g_{klm}}{f_{klm}} = \frac{r_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6}}{r_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3}} \cdot \left[\frac{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(Q_1, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(Q_1, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3)} \times \right.$$
$$\times \exp(\int_{P_0}^{Q_1} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_2} + \Omega_{R_3R_2}) - \int_{P_0}^{Q_3} \Omega_{Q_1Q_2}) +$$
$$+ \frac{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_5)\Theta(R_2, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_5-\mathbf{e}_6)}{\Theta(Q_3, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3)\Theta(R_2, \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_3-\mathbf{e}_4+\mathbf{e}_5)} \times$$
$$\left. \times \exp(\int_{P_0}^{R_2} (\Omega_{Q_3Q_1} + \Omega_{R_3R_1}) + \int_{P_0}^{Q_3} \Omega_{R_1R_2}) \right],$$

$$\frac{a_{klm}}{f_{klm}} = 0, \quad \frac{c_{klm}}{f_{klm}} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(k,l,m)$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of the Theorem 1. □

Any set of non-zero constants h_{klm} defines a "gauge" transformation of operators of the form (3) such that

$$a'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k,l+1,m-1} a_{klm}, \quad b'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k,l-1,m+1} b_{klm}, \quad c'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k+1,l-1,m} c_{klm},$$

$$d'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k-1,l+1,m} d_{klm}, \quad f'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k+1,l,m-1} f_{klm}, \quad g'_{klm} = h^{-1}_{k-1,l,m+1} g_{klm}.$$

This gauge transform acts on the eigenfunctions in the following manner: $\psi'_{klm} = h_{klm}\psi_{klm}$.

The following theorem is an easy corollary of the Theorem 2.
Theorem 2′. For any set of "spectral data" consisting of: a non-singular curve Γ of genus g, six points $Q_i, R_i \in \Gamma, i = 1,2,3$, and a generic divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of g points different from the Q_i, R_i, there exists, up to a gauge transformation, a unique operator L of the form (3).

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Professor Alexander P. Veselov for suggesting this problem and fruitful discussions. The authors also thank Professor Pavel Winternitz for discussions. The authors also thank K. Thomas for the help in the preparation of the manuscript. The authors wish to thank the referees for useful remarks.

The main part of this research was performed during the participation of one of the authors (A.O.) in the Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures at the Université de Montréal in the summer of 1999 and he is very grateful to the Université de Montréal for hospitality.

During this work the authors were supported by the grant INTAS 96-0770 (A.O.) and fellowships from the Institut de Sciences Mathématiques and the Université de Montréal (A.P.), which are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] B. A. Dubrovin, I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov. The Scrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surfaces. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **229** (1976), no. 1., p. 15-18. (in Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), no. 4, p. 947-951.

[2] A. P. Veselov, S. P. Novikov. Finite-zone, two-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Potential operators. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **279** (1984), no. 4, p. 784-788. (in Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl., **30** (1984), no. 3, p. 705-708.

[3] I. M. Krichever. Two-dimensional periodic difference operators and algebraic geometry. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **285** (1985), no. 1, p. 31-36. (in Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), no. 3, p. 623-627. English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, **52** (1997), no. 5, p. 1057-1116.

[4] S. P. Novikov. Algebraic properties of two-dimensional difference operators. Uspekhi. Mat. Nauk, **52** (1997), no. 1, p. 225-226. (in Russian). English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, **52** (1997), no. 1, p. 226-227.

[5] S. P. Novikov, A. P. Veselov. Exactly solvable two-dimensional Schrödinger operators and Laplace transformations. In *Solitons, geometry, and topology: on the crossroad,* Ed. V. M. Buchstaber and S. P. Novikov, AMS Transl. Ser. 2, **179** (1997), p. 109-132.

[6] S. P. Novikov, I. A. Dynnikov. Discrete spectral symmetries of small-dimensional differential operators and difference operators on regular lattices and two-dimensional manifolds. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **52** (1997), no. 5, p. 175-234. (in Russian). English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, **52** (1997), p. 1057-1116.

[7] B. A. Dubrovin. Theta-functions and nonlinear equations. Uspekhi. Mat. Nauk, **36** (1981), no. 2, p. 11-80. (in Russian). English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, **36** (1981), no. 2, p. 11-92.